Equality Impact Assessment

The Equality Act 2010 replaces the previous anti-discrimination laws with a single Act. It simplifies the law, removing inconsistencies and making it easier for people to understand and comply with it. It also strengthens the law in important ways, to help tackle discrimination and equality. The majority of the Act came into force on 1 October 2010.

Public bodies are required in it to have due regard to the need to:

- eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it, and
- foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

The public sector Equality Duty came into force on 5 April 2011. The duty ensures that all public bodies play their part in making society fairer by tackling discrimination and providing equality of opportunity for all. It ensures that public bodies consider the needs of all individuals in their day to day work – in shaping policy, delivering services and in relation to their own employees.

The Equality Duty encourages public bodies to understand how different people will be affected by their activities so that policies and services are appropriate and accessible to all and meet different people's needs. By understanding the effect of their activities on different people, and how inclusive public services can support and open up people's opportunities, public bodies are better placed to deliver policies and services that are efficient and effective.

The new equality duty replaces the three previous public sector equality duties, for race, disability and gender. The new equality duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- age
- disability
- gender reassignment
- pregnancy and maternity
- race this includes ethnic or national origins, colour or nationality
- religion or belief including lack of belief
- sex
- sexual orientation.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination.

The Council has also decided to treat people who have care experience as if they had a protected characteristic under the law.

Having due regard means consciously thinking about the three aims of the equality duty as part of the process of decision-making. This means that consideration of

equality issues must influence the decisions reached by public bodies, including how they act as employers, how they develop, evaluate and review policies, how they design, deliver and evaluate services, and how they commission and procure from others.

Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity involves considering the need to:

- remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- meet the needs of people with protected characteristics, and
- encourage people with protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where their participation is low.

Fostering good relations involves tackling prejudice and promoting understanding between people who share a protected characteristic and others.

Complying with the equality duty may involve treating some people better than others, as far as this is allowed by discrimination law. For example, it may involve making use of an exception or the positive action provisions in order to provide a service in a way which is appropriate for people who share a protected characteristic.

The Equality Duty also explicitly recognises that disabled people's needs may be different from those of non-disabled people. Public bodies should therefore take account of disabled people's impairments when making decisions about policies or services. This might mean making reasonable adjustments or treating disabled people better than non-disabled people in order to meet their needs.

There is no explicit requirement to refer to the Equality Duty in recording the process of consideration but it is good practice to do so. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies demonstrate that they considered the aims of the Equality Duty. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies show how they considered the Equality Duty. Producing an Equality Impact Assessment after a decision has been reached will not achieve compliance with the Equality Duty.

It is recommended that assessments are carried out in respect of new or revised policies and that a copy of the assessment is included as an appendix to the report provided to the decision makers at the relevant Cabinet, Committee or Scrutiny meeting.

Where it is clear from initial consideration that a policy will not have any effect on equality for any of the protected characteristics, no further analysis or action is necessary.

Public bodies should take a proportionate approach when complying with the Equality Duty. In practice, this means giving greater consideration to the Equality Duty where a policy or function has the potential to have a discriminatory effect or impact on equality of opportunity, and less consideration where the potential effect on equality is

slight. The Equality Duty requires public bodies to think about people's different needs and how these can be met.

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)

Directorate:	Executive Director	Title of the Lead Officer responsible for EIA	Tuesday Hanley
Name of the policy or function to be assessed:		Asbestos Policy	
Title of the Officer undertaking the		Head of Health, Safety, Compliance and	
assessment:		Emergency Planning	
Is this a new or an existing policy or		New Policy	
function?			

1. What are the aims and objectives of the policy or function?

To appoint licenced contractors to ensure the requirements of the Health and Safety Executive are followed under the 'Duty to Manage' Asbestos containing materials (ACMs) and specifically Reg 4 Duty to manage asbestos in non-domestic premises and Reg 5 Identification of the presence of Asbestos under The Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012 in the removal and disposal of asbestos containing material that is identified during planned Capital works programmes.

Also, to provide an emergency response in the event of asbestos containing material being discovered or disturbed while carrying out ad-hoc property maintenance and repair works.

The provide clarity and consistency on our approach to managing asbestos in our premises for all departments and to make accountability very clear.

2. What outcomes do you want to achieve from the policy or function? First and foremost a safe working environment for our employees, contractors who do work on our behalf to create a secure and safe home for our tenants.

3. Who is intended to benefit from the policy or function?

All our Employees, but particularly our trade operatives. Our Tenants residing in our housing stock and contractors who work on our behalf. It will ensure safe and secure homes for Broxtowe Borough Council tenants and their visitors and ensure the safe disposal of hazardous material.

4. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy or function?

Asset Management & Development – Capital works streams and Estates function Housing – Repairs and Voids | Tenant Services

Economic Development and Regeneration – Refurbishments

Directorate:	Executive Director	Title of the Lead	Tuesday Hanley	
		Officer responsible		
— 1871 4 1 11		for EIA		
	-	do you have about the	ne policy or	
	ting to the different	equality strands? the housing stock and	the presence of	
ACMs.	bout the condition of t	ine nousing stock and	the presence of	
71011101				
6. What baseling	ne qualitative data de	you have about the	policy	
	elating to the differe	nt equality strands?		
N/A				
7 What has stal	keholder consultatio	on, if carried out, rev	ealed about the	
nature of the		ii, ii oarrica oat, rev	calca about the	
	-	defined approach an	d clear guidance on	
what standards v	ve will work to.			
0 = 41 1		41 11 6 41		
		the policy or functi		
-		quality groups in dif	<u>-</u>	
_		unction adversely a ortunity for promoti	_	
		ation to each equalit		
•_		or exclude a specifi		
		me equality groups		
		stified? No. Every ter		
the property v	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	•		
□ le the peliev e	r function likely to b	o oqually accessed	by all aguality	
		e equally accessed		
groups or communities? If no, can this be justified? No – this affects the physical dwelling and not the individual who lives there. Inspection,				
monitoring and remedial work decisions will be based solely on the				
condition of any ACM found in a property.				
☐ Are there barriers that might make access difficult or stop different				
equality groups or communities accessing the policy or function? No.				
		e options when we en	gage in work to	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	or remove ACMs.			
☐ Could the policy or function promote or contribute to equality and good relations between different groups? If so, how? Yes, it will give tenants				
assurance that their health will not be unduly compromised by the presence				
	ood condition in their	•	iod by the procented	
☐ What further evidence is needed to understand the impact on equality?				
The works are undertaken on Broxtowe Borough Council owned properties and				
will not affect individual groups of the population. The priority for works is based on				
the discovery and the condition of asbestos containing material in the property				
rather than the individual characteristics of the occupants.				

9. On the basis of the analysis above what actions, if any, will you need to take in respect of each of the equality strands?				
Age:				
Disability:				
Gender:	The work will have a positive effect on all of the identified equality groups as both the tenants and visitors to the property			
Gender Reassignment:				
Marriage and Civil Partnership:	ill benefit from the encapsulation or after removal and disposal of asbestos			
Pregnancy and Maternity:	containing material.			
Race:				
Religion and Belief:				
Sexual Orientation:				
Care Experience:				
Executive Director:				
I am satisfied with the results of this EIA progress against the actions proposed in Signature:				